

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.               | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.        |  |
|-------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/081,156                    | 02/25/2002    | Mark Stutler         | 3152A-Z                 | 9175                    |  |
| 759                           | 90 04/14/2003 |                      |                         |                         |  |
| Law Office of                 | Jim Zegeer    |                      | EXAM                    | INER                    |  |
| Suite 108<br>801 North Pitt S |               |                      | CANFIELD, ROBERT        |                         |  |
| Alexandria, VA                | 22314         |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER            |  |
|                               |               |                      | 3635                    |                         |  |
|                               |               |                      | DATE MAILED: 04/14/2003 | DATE MAILED: 04/14/2003 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| ·   |   |   | 110  |
|---|---|---|------|
| ,   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |      |
|   | 10/081,156  | STUTLER, MARK   |      |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit  | ,    |
|   | Robert J Canfield   | 3635  |      |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap<br>Period for Reply   | pears on the cover sheet w  | vith the correspondence address   |      |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status | 136(a). In no event, however, may a<br>ply within the statutory minimum of thi<br>will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO<br>e, cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |      |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14   | <u>August 2002</u> .  |   |      |
| 2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ TI  | his action is non-final.  |   |      |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under <b>Disposition of Claims</b>   |   |   | s    |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the applicatio  | n.  |   |      |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra  | awn from consideration.   |   |      |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7 and 10-12</u> is/are allowed.   |   |   |      |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5,6,8,9,13 and 14</u> is/are rejected.  |   |   |      |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4</u> is/are objected to.   |   |   |      |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  | or election requirement.  |   |      |
| Application Papers  |   |   |      |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine  | er.   |   |      |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce   | , , , ,   |   |      |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the   | • • •   | * *   |      |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on  |   | disapproved by the Examiner.  |      |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in re  | , -   |   |      |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | kaminer.  |   |      |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |   |   |      |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  | n priority under 35 U.S.C.  | § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |      |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  |   |   |      |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority document  |   |   |      |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority document  |   | <del></del>   |      |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li> <li>application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>  | reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | · ·   |      |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest  | •   |   | on). |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro  | ovisional application has b   | een received.   | ,,.  |
| Attachment(s)   | priority dildor do 0.0.0  | . 33 120 dila/01 121.   |      |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4   | 5) Notice of  | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |      |
|   |   |   |      |

Application/Control Number: 10/081,156

Art Unit: 3635

- 1. This is a first Office action on the merits for application serial number 10/081156 filed 02/25/02. Claims 1-14 are pending.
- 2. The examiner acknowledges receipt of the IDS filed 06/18/02. An initialed copy of the 1449 form is attached.
- 3. The drawings are objected to because figures 1A and 2A cannot be linked with the arrow, similarly figures 4 and 6 cannot be linked with the arrow, Figure 5 should be bracketed "}" and descriptive matter is not permitted. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The preamble of claims 8 and 9 fails to agree with that of claim 1 from which they depend. It appears that applicant intended claims 8 and 9 to depend from claim 7 rather than claim 1.

Art Unit: 3635

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Page 3

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1, 5, 6, 9, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,479,750 to Carlberg.

Figure 1 of Carlberg provides an extruded plastic channel 16 having springy, parallel sidewalls with outwardly flared lower ends. Recitations of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art.

8. Claims 1, 5, 6, 9, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,772,185 to Pulsipher.

Figures 1 and 2 of Pulsipher provide an extruded plastic channel 10 having springy, parallel sidewalls 19, 20 with outwardly flared lower ends 18c, 20c. Recitations of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 10/081,156

Art Unit: 3635

- 9. Claims 2-4, are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 10. Claims 7 and 1-12 are allowed. 10 12
- 11. Claim 8 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 12. The claims are found allowable because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a channel as claimed having spaced punchouts or a pair of insulated electrical wires in the top panel.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert J Canfield whose telephone number is 703-308-2482. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached on 703-308-0839. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-2168.

Robert Canfield

Robert Canfield
Primary Examiner